00
PRISON INDUSTRY BOARD MEETING))))))))))))))))
00
California State Prison, Corcoran
4001 King Avenue
Corcoran, California
Tuesday, December 18, 2018
Reported by: TAMARA MENDOZA SUMPTER, CSR 9993

```
APPEARANCES
 1
 2
                            ---000---
 3
   Board:
 4
        RALPH DIAZ, Chair
        DARSHAN SINGH, Vice Chair
 5
        DR. ARMOND AGHAKHANIAN, Member
        WILLIAM DAVIDSON, Member
 6
        DAWN DAVISON, Member
        CURTIS KELLY, Member
        FELIPE MARTIN, Member
        JEFF McGUIRE, Member
 8
        MICHELLE STEEB, Member
 9 Executive Officer:
10
       CHARLES L. PATTILLO
11 | Secretary:
12
        THY VUONG
13
   Counsel:
14
        JEFFERY A. SLY, ESQ.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	000
2	December 18, 2018
3	10:00 A.M.
4	000
5	MR. DIAZ: I'm going to call the PIA Board
6	meeting, Prison Industry Board meeting to order. And my
7	Apple watch here shows straight 10:00 o'clock. I would
8	like to ask that this meeting begin. It's a public
9	meeting; so there will be an opportunity for public
10	comment for those in attendance here, and I do want to
11	thank you for taking the time to come and be part of
12	this. I think it's important that you see what we do
13	here and more important you see the great things that PIA
14	is doing.
15	I'm going to ask Thy if she could please take
16	the roll.
17	THE SECRETARY: Chair Singh Chair Diaz.
18	Sorry.
19	CHAIR DIAZ: Here.
20	THE SECRETARY: Vice Chair Singh.
21	VICE CHAIR SINGH: Here.
22	THE SECRETARY: Member Aghakhanian.
23	MR. AGHAKHANIAN: Here.
24	THE SECRETARY: Member Davidson.
25	MR. DAVIDSON: Here.

```
1
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davison.
 2
            MS. DAVISON: Here.
 3
            THE SECRETARY: Member Jenkins.
 4
            Member Jennings.
 5
            Member Kelly.
 6
            MR. KELLY: Here.
 7
            THE SECRETARY: Member Martin.
 8
            MR. MARTIN: Here.
 9
            THE SECRETARY: Member McGuire.
10
            MR. McGUIRE: Here.
            THE SECRETARY: Member Steeb.
11
12
            MS. STEEB: Here.
            CHAIR DIAZ: Let the record show we have a
13
   quorum of nine members.
15
            Thank you very much.
            Before we begin, before I get into my opening
16
   comments, I do want to point out to the Board and State
17
   that we will be pulling Action Item C off the agenda.
   That's the approval for proposed legislation to amend
19
   2933, two-for-one credit for PIA inmate participants.
            I do want to welcome the board members here to
21
22
   the California State Prison here in Corcoran. This is a
23
   place that is really near and dear to my heart.
                                                     This was
   the second prison that I began as a correctional officer.
25
   I spent a lot of time on these yards, a lot of time in
```

this room being trained. This prison has gone through quite a bit of transformation. We've been through many wardens. And this prison still stands as an institution that's very proud of their mission, very proud of what they do for the citizens of California, and it is a location that staff do seek to come and gain a lot of experience, and I know that's the very same for CALPIA.

I do want to thank Warden Clark and his team for the privilege of being able to hold this meeting here.

We have Acting Warden Jaime Perez. Warden Clark is on vacation. And I had a chance to talk to Acting Warden Perez this morning about how Corcoran is going, how the relationship with PIA is, and I was told it's very strong.

So thank you, Jaime, for your work here.

I know a little bit later we're going to be touring SATF, and I want to thank Warden Stu Sherman.

He's at the institution waiting for us, but he has his chief deputy warden here, Theresa Macias, and I just want to thank her for her leadership. It's not Teresa Macias.

I'm sorry. Cisneros. Teresa Macias was the CEO here.

Theresa Cisneros, Chief Deputy Warden.

And I look forward to touring over there and seeing the old institution.

Corcoran itself here, they house about 3,300

inmates. They have a vibrant PIA operation here.

SATF, they hold over 5,000 inmates, and at one time when I was warden, we held nearly 9,500 inmates in that one location. That was during the overcrowding days. I think for SATF, one of its most notable individuals that was housed there was Robert Downey, Jr., at one time. That's when he was going through a rough patch in his life, but clearly we did him well, and he's making millions of dollars in Hollywood. So we did real well at SATF with him.

As far as CDCR and updates, what we're -- as corrections, we are in a transition period with Governor Brown to Governor Elect Newsom. We've had meetings initially with the transition team, and all indications is that we're going to continue on the path we're going with the reforms and HOPE and rehabilitation and training of staff and training of inmates to understand that the function that California Department of Corrections serves is a rehabilitative function, and I know PIA has that same mindset. So hopefully by the next meeting, you'll still see me here. I am throwing my hat in for this position as an actor, but I can tell you, corrections is in a better place with the support and push from Governor Brown, and we will dearly miss him as a governor.

So I'd like to take this opportunity right now,

if -- is this where -- Mr. Pattillo, do you have any 2 comments? 3 MR. PATTILLO: No. 4 CHAIR DIAZ: Board? 5 MS. STEEB: I would like to understand why we're pulling Action Item C from the agenda. I was -- I read 6 the proposal; I was excited about it. So I'm just 8 curious as to why we're --9 CHAIR DIAZ: One of the concerns is, with the population pressures that we are having in the 10 11 institution for this same group of inmates that we're 12 pushing for firefighters, we're pushing for lower level facilities, the concern was that the -- for me and the 13 institutions, was the workforce in the institutions, it 15 could diminish to a point, and we hadn't done a real study to look at what the impacts of this would be for that group of inmates. We're all struggling for that 17 same group of inmates. Fundamentally, I think it's where 18 19 we need to be, but without gathering data through our 20 resource to see what the impacts would be to the operation with losing that group of inmates with a bench 21 22 that we're struggling to find to get inmates into these 23 lower level programs. So that was one of the concerns. 24 MS. STEEB: But my understanding is the idea 25 would be that we would use Conservation Corps members to

fill those positions.

CHAIR DIAZ: That's an idea that's been out
there. We haven't flushed that out completely with the
fire camps. We are still reeling and trying to populate
because of the 66 percent that were granted to
non-violent offenders. There is a push out there to
grant violent offenders 66 percent. And if that happens,
that really impacts Cal Fire. We did some studies
initially, and if that were to happen just to the violent
offenders, we would lose almost two and a half camps with
not a bench inside the institutions to fill.

Right now the institutions, they are already overriding Level 2 inmates down to that level just to meet the fire needs and the camp needs. There was a thought that we'd go into the Level 3 inmates, a double override down from Level 3 to Level 1, fire camp. It does get risky.

So all of these efforts to push credits, they're for a good reason, but we have to make sure we have the plan behind us to backfill the inmates.

We are, as a department, going into a non-designated programming push, which it's a fancy word for taking sensitive needs inmates that at one time would never participate at the lower levels and trying to get those inmates and general population inmates to live on

the same yards. That would increase the population, but right now we are probably 90 percent with that 2 integration. Once we get that integration done and it's 3 now normal for prison systems to override this group of 5 inmates to lower levels, we can examine and project what the population would be for these programs, but I think 6 to do this now would -- without data, it could impact workers being placed in those areas because trying to get 8 that level of inmate would be tough. So I appreciate those concerns. 10 MS. STEEB: also concerned about the inmates and where they have the 11 12

also concerned about the inmates and where they have the best opportunities for success and where public safety has the best opportunity for success. So if we're -- so I'd love to understand a timeline for this, but if we're -- if we're taking into consideration, you know, fire camps, I also want to take into consideration the inmates.

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what kind of recidivism rate do the fire camp participants have versus like -- because we know what PIA's are. I just don't know what the fire camps' are.

CHAIR DIAZ: I couldn't give you what it is today. I'll get it for you. But I think this really comes down to doing a proper dive into what the numbers would look like. Right now, if we were to pass this -- and I know that this isn't something that would be done

next month -- but without seeing what the numbers would be, I think we'd be remiss because there are always 2 3 impacts. You make one change here, there's four down the road that are unseen and unanticipated. 5 MS. STEEB: Right. 6 CHAIR DIAZ: I'd love to look at this again once 7 we have the data. 8 MS. STEEB: What data are we looking for 9 specifically? 10 CHAIR DIAZ: For how many inmates would this impact, not only for PIA, but for fire camps, also for 11 MCRPs, for other programs where this inmate is looking to be. That's the numbers we're looking for. 13 14 MS. STEEB: And so what's the plan to capture that data? 15 16 CHAIR DIAZ: I guess the plan would be if we get to a point to where we do grant violent offender fire 17 18 campers 66 percent, what impact that would have on camps. 19 I think there's a time that we're going to have to take a 20 look at what we do with camps, and I know there's been talk and I've read concerns that -- not concerns, but 21 22 thoughts that we could just dip into CCC. Those 23 conversations haven't been had. I know that theoretically people could look at that and say that's a 24 25 perfect fit. You lose inmate fire fighters, you backfill

with CCC, but no communications have been had with Cal Fire on that, little communication, if any, with CCC on what that would look like.

We're in partnership right now with CCC at the

We're in partnership right how with CCC at the Ventura Fire Camp for the parolees. We're seeing how that relationship is going. Right now it's going very well. So CCC are paying parolees to participate in that fire camp. So the relationship has been there with CCC, but this subject about the two-for-one impacting various other lower level programs, it hasn't been flushed out yet.

MS. STEEB: Okay. So do you have an estimated timeline because I -- you know, we also have tons of vacant positions at PIA, right, and I know what our recidivism rate is. I'm interested to see what the Cal Fire participants one is, but --

CHAIR DIAZ: I can get you one for the next board meeting.

MS. STEEB: Okay.

CHAIR DIAZ: I can get you that report.

MS. STEEB: Okay. Perfect. I'm sorry. I was excited about this proposal. It's creative and entrepreneurial and solves a lot of issues including, you know, the youth at CCC who don't have -- who are trying,

25 you know, to create different futures for themselves.

1 CHAIR DIAZ: Right. 2 MS. STEEB: And so I think it's just a beautiful 3 win/win all the way around, but I appreciate the need to flush it out and get more data, and I just want to make 5 sure we don't drop that, you know, off of our agenda. CHAIR DIAZ: Totally understandable. 6 7 MS. STEEB: Okay. CHAIR DIAZ: Any other questions? 8 9 Any updates, PIA? Mr. Pattillo? MR. PATTILLO: No updates right now, but we are 10 going to move into closed session in the interest of 11 12 time. 13 CHAIR DIAZ: Okay. So we're going to -- I'll ask if we can empty the room, and we will call you in as 15 soon as we're done with this item. Thank you. (Closed session was not transcribed.) 16 CHAIR DIAZ: We're going to call the meeting 17 back to order at 11:15 from closed session. 18 19 During closed session, there was discussion, and 20 the Board adopted the Prison Industry Board Search Committee's recommendation to offer the Prison Industry 21 22 Authority CEO job to Miss Amy Pataluna. 23 The Board also authorized a waiver to hire Chuck Pattillo as a hired retired annuitant during this 24 25 transition period.

```
1
            So moving on to action --
 2
             (Applause.)
 3
            CHAIR DIAZ: Thank you.
 4
            So moving on to Action Item A, approval of
 5
   CALPIA's proposed mid-year revised fiscal year 2018-`19.
            Mr. Pattillo.
 6
 7
            MR. PATTILLO: Good afternoon, Board Members.
            So, as you recall, we do our budget every six
 8
9
   months most years, just to keep in line with revenues and
   expenditures. This year, we are increasing this by 2.0
10
   million from our `18/`19 annual plan.
11
12
            Our cost of goods sold are estimated to increase
   1.3 percent or $2 1/2 million from our annual plan.
13
            One of the big things that's going on right now
14
15
   is we're having to put money back into the operation.
   anticipate in the next year that our revenues from
   Optical will increase $21 million dollars a year. So, as
17
18
   we previously proved, the study money and the new
19
   additions at Chowchilla, we're starting to add the new
20
   positions that are associated with that. So that ate up
   some of the -- just the small $2 million dollar increase.
21
   The $21 million dollars comes from behind.
22
23
            Selling and administrative expenses are expected
   to increase about .7 or $400,000 from 57.3.
24
```

And I'm working off of here, guys, page 1 in the

25

booklet.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you can see, the change from the annual plan is not that significant. We've actually lowered net profit by about \$800,000 for the current year.

The major changes in the budget were the positions that are dealing with Chowchilla and an additional MIS position in the Valley.

Internally, the only budget change was an allocation to Project Rebound at Sac State for \$250,000 as seed money to match Project Rebound funding statewide with the CSUs, which is approximately an eight-to-one match. The money will be used through MOU for them to increase the services to returning inmates into the CSU system and then also study the effectiveness of that at the Sacramento campus. CSU is most likely going to get matching funding eight-to-one statewide. So we'd be at -- this would be a seed money operation. It will be only for one year, and the only reason we're doing this is we're a little bit behind on roll-outs with the million dollars allocated for the counties because we've been having problems getting the county programs set up. They're not taking our money like we thought they would.

So that part of the budget is not -- there's not that many changes, just minor tweaks, and the change is only about \$800,000.

```
1
            Are there any questions on the budget?
 2
            Motion?
 3
            MEMBER KELLY: Motion to accept the mid-term
 4
   revised budget.
 5
            MEMBER DAVIDSON: Second.
            CHAIR DIAZ: I think we have to take public
 6
7
   comment before the vote.
8
            MR. PATTILLO: Yes. Sorry.
            CHAIR DIAZ: So if there's any comments from the
 9
  public, I would ask that you see the board secretary, and
10
   if that's -- if you're going to come up, please state
11
   your name and the association you're with.
            Are there any public comments? Seeing none.
13
            We have a motion and a second.
14
            MEMBER SINGH: I second that.
15
            CHAIR DIAZ: Okay. All in favor?
16
17
            MR. PATTILLO: Secretary, call roll.
            THE SECRETARY: Member Aghakhanian.
18
19
            MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
20
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davidson.
            MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
2.1
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davison.
22
23
            MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
24
            THE SECRETARY: Member Kelly.
25
            MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
```

```
1
            THE SECRETARY: Member Martin.
 2
            MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
 3
            THE SECRETARY: Member McGuire.
 4
            MEMBER McGUIRE: Aye.
 5
            THE SECRETARY: Member Steeb.
 6
            MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
 7
            THE SECRETARY: Vice Chair Singh.
 8
            VICE CHAIR SINGH: Yes.
 9
            THE SECRETARY: Chair Diaz.
10
            CHAIR DIAZ: Yes.
11
            THE SECRETARY: Motion passes nine to zero.
12
            CHAIR DIAZ: Thank you.
13
            Moving on to Action Item B, "Approval of
   Designation of Cash to Support CALPIA's Fiscal Year `18/
   `19 Mid-Year Revised Budget Proposal."
15
            Mr. Pattillo.
16
            MR. PATTILLO: We're going to go to page 4, the
17
   designation of cash, and the designation of cash is
18
19
   something we've been doing for about 15 years, just
20
   talking about where our liabilities are. As you recall,
   last year, the Department of Finance transferred $62.6
21
22
   million from our OPEB fund and then provided language
2.3
   that said we did not have to fund OPEB anymore. However,
   we are allocated that cost still every year, and there is
25
   a portion that we're responsible for.
```

The responsible amounts there, we are going to continue to show them as a net OPEB obligation, now it's \$72 million dollars, because I think it's important for us to be transparent about what liabilities are out there that belong to PIA, even though we're not authorized -- we're authorized not to fund them now, as the Department of Finance has set that up. So that's how our designation of cash has been set out.

What it shows is that the major impacts are \$10 million dollars in accrued leave, \$14 million dollars in -- \$14.5 in workers' comp liabilities coming down, and then SB 84 is actually the OPEB payback amount. And that number that you see down there, if I can -- far right column, SB 84, \$6.398 million, that number is going to grow substantially, and that liability is going to be significantly higher than that, but we need to keep it on the books so we're properly showing what this agency has been allocated, even though we're not required to fund it anymore.

MEMBER STEEB: Chuck, can you explain what that is, please?

MR. PATTILLO: SB 84 is the repayment to pay back down the OPEB. So, like, we have been doing previously in the other post-employment benefits, we have been funding them ourselves for years and setting that

```
1
   money aside.
 2
            MEMBER STEEB: Right.
 3
            MR. PATTILLO: And Department of Finance says,
   no, you are going to transfer -- we're going to transfer
 5
   that money --
 6
            MEMBER STEEB: Right.
 7
            MR. PATTILLO: -- and you're not going to be
   responsible for it anymore.
8
 9
            Well, they have a repayment plan; so now we're
   having to make repayments on top of the fact that we've
10
   already contributed the 62.6 million. So, you know,
11
12
   it's --
            MEMBER KELLY: So they took the money to put
13
  aside, and now we're making -- because of this new law,
15
   are we making repayments to the money we already put
   aside? Is it going backwards, or is this moving
   forwards?
17
            MR. PATTILLO: This is on a going-forward basis.
18
19
            MEMBER KELLY: Okay.
20
            MEMBER MARTIN: I know you and I had a
   conversation about this. Would you explain a little bit
21
   as to why we are required, being that we're a separate
23
   entity from -- we're supposed to be self-funded and
24
   self-sufficient?
25
            MR. PATTILLO: Well, up until a year ago, we
```

```
were completely, and we were funding all of our
 2
   liabilities that were required of us, but when the
 3
   Department of Finance said we were going to run like
   every other state agency, which is huge holes in the
 5
   liabilities for the pension. It's allocated to us every
   year, it's on the state books, but I think it's very
 6
   important for us to have it on our books to show that
   we're being as transparent as possible on this.
8
 9
            Thank you.
            CHAIR DIAZ: Any other questions from the Board?
10
11
            MEMBER STEEB: Do they have an issue with us
  showing it on our books?
            MR. PATTILLO: Probably. There's no harm in
13
  sunshine.
14
15
            MEMBER STEEB: Approved.
            CHAIR DIAZ: Is there a motion on Action Item B?
16
17
            MEMBER AGHAKHAJIAN: So moved.
            CHAIR DIAZ: Second?
18
19
            MEMBER STEEB: Second.
20
            MEMBER MARTIN: Second.
            CHAIR DIAZ: Board Secretary, call the roll.
21
22
            THE SECRETARY: Member Aghakhanian.
23
            MR. AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
24
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davidson.
25
            MEMBER DAVIDSON:
                              Aye.
```

```
1
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davison.
 2
            MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
 3
            THE SECRETARY: Member Kelly.
 4
            MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
 5
            THE SECRETARY: Member Martin.
 6
            MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
 7
            THE SECRETARY: Member McGuire.
8
            MEMBER McGUIRE: Aye.
 9
            THE SECRETARY: Member Steeb.
10
            MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
11
            THE SECRETARY: Vice Chair Singh.
12
            VICE CHAIR SINGH:
            THE SECRETARY: Chair Diaz.
13
14
            CHAIR DIAZ: Yes.
15
            THE SECRETARY: Motion passes nine to zero.
            CHAIR DIAZ: I apologize to the public. If
16
   there was any comments on that, please come forward.
17
18
   apologies.
19
            MR. PATTILLO: We're going to skip over Item C,
20
   and we're going to Item D. Add regulations:
   Division 8.5, Section 8903, "Settlement Authority."
21
22
            Real quick, basically, the Penal Code gives a
23
   whole lot of authority to PIB. We've also talked about
   until we put it in regulation every time, we cannot use
25
   it. And so what's happened, like last year with the
```

```
vehicles, we would buy vehicles, but State Controller
   said since we didn't have regulations, they wouldn't
 2
 3
   reimburse our revolving fund for the vehicles we
   purchased. Now they're saying the same thing for
 5
   settlement authority. As General Manager/CEO, I have
   authority of up to $100,000 from the Board mostly done on
 6
   nuisance lawsuits, workers' comp, and we report all those
8
   to you quarterly.
 9
            The State Controller's Office has now decided
   all of those checks we've written over the last 16
10
11
   months, they're not going to reimburse us until we have
12
   this language adopted, even though we have the Penal Code
   authority. It's bureaucracy at its finest.
13
            And our attorney is here if there's anything to
14
15
   add to it. Otherwise, if you have any questions on this
16
   one...
            MEMBER AGHAKHAJIAN: Are we able to sue them?
17
            MR. PATTILLO: Pardon me?
18
19
            MEMBER AGHAKHAJIAN: Can we sue them for not
20
   paying us back?
            CHAIR DIAZ: That sounds good in concept.
21
            MEMBER KELLY: That's the Jeff and Jeff
22
23
   question.
            MR. PATTILLO: It's just not worth it. So we
24
25
   end up doing -- the Penal Code gives the Board and PIA a
```

```
lot of authority, but until we put it in the reg, we
 2
   can't use it. So this is what this is.
 3
            Are there any questions?
 4
            CHAIR DIAZ: Any questions from the public on
 5
   this action item? Do we have a motion?
            MEMBER STEEB: So moved.
 6
 7
            CHAIR DIAZ: Second?
 8
            MEMBER KELLY: Second.
 9
            CHAIR DIAZ: Secretary, call the roll.
10
            THE SECRETARY: Member Aghakhanian.
11
            MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
12
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davidson.
13
            MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
14
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davison.
15
            MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
16
            THE SECRETARY: Member Kelly.
17
            MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
            THE SECRETARY: Member Martin.
18
            MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
19
20
            THE SECRETARY: Member McGuire.
21
            MEMBER McGUIRE: Aye.
22
            THE SECRETARY: Member Steeb.
23
            MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
            THE SECRETARY: Vice Chair Singh.
24
25
            VICE CHAIR SINGH: Yes.
```

1 THE SECRETARY: Chair Diaz. 2 CHAIR DIAZ: Yes. 3 THE SECRETARY: Motion passes nine to zero. 4 CHAIR DIAZ: Action item E. MR. PATTILLO: Action Item E is the add Title 5 15, Section 8.5, Section 8904, "Incentive Compensation." 6 Again, this is a guideline issue. The Board has 8 authority to set all compensation schedules, including 9 bonuses, any kind of compensation for PIA employees. We're adding Section 8904 to recognize the Board's 10 11 authority pursuant to 2808 and 2809 to authorize and 12 approve CALPIA employee compensation when needed to address low paying and hard-to-fill classifications. 13 That's what this one is specifically here for today. 15 that's specifically stated, the authority, in 2809. What this has to do with is actually with --16 Item G is our authority to provide this differential for 17 the custodian classifications that we're asking for 18 19 that's two away from this. Again, we have the authority 20 in the Penal Code to do this. We just need to put it in 21 the regulation. That actually affects all levels, not 22 just the hard-classified 1. So our action in closed 23 session was actually based on this authority. 24 MEMBER KELLY: Motion we adopt Item E. 25 CHAIR DIAZ: Any comments from the public?

Hearing none.
We have a motion.
MEMBER MARTIN: I second.
CHAIR DIAZ: All right. Call the roll.
THE SECRETARY: Member Aghakhanian.
MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
THE SECRETARY: Member Davidson.
MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
THE SECRETARY: Member Davison.
MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
THE SECRETARY: Member Kelly.
MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Member Martin.
MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Member McGuire.
MEMBER McGUIRE: Aye.
THE SECRETARY: Member Steeb.
MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
THE SECRETARY: Vice Chair Singh.
VICE CHAIR SINGH: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Chair Diaz.
CHAIR DIAZ: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Motion passes nine to zero.
CHAIR DIAZ: Action Item F.
MR. PATTILLO: F is Department Operations Manual

Section 5110.3, Article II, "Administrative Officer of the Day."

We are bringing AOD responsibilities to the attention of the Board and asking them to approve requesting CDCR to change the DOM, removing CALPIA employees (PIM managers and administrators) from responsibility to perform the AOD, administrative officer of the day, duties.

We wish to avoid the exposure to liability from AOD duties, and we do not believe CALPIA have the necessary experience or training to perform these duties.

Now, AOD is -- on the weekends you're the acting warden, technically, if there is an issue that comes up. But we've been -- they've been giving that duty to administrators and PIMs. Since we are a different organization, a questionable call by a PIA employee can put PIA at risk. So we're asking to be pulled out of that service and not have to perform that.

I use the example of -- you know, we're pragmatic. So at DVI, we had an inmate that walked away and was in the cornfield. And the protocol is, as you remember, DVI, you call out internal resources, external resources, sheriff, Highway Patrol, and then you bring in the helicopter. Our guy, being pragmatic, decided to light the field on fire and thought that was a more

```
expeditious way to do this. So it's those kind of
 2
   things -- our folks aren't trained for this, but they
 3
   know how to get 'er done.
 4
            So that is our reasoning for having us pulled
 5
   out of this. It does put us at a significant risk.
 6
            Any questions on this one?
 7
            MEMBER McGUIRE: I just have a procedural
   question. So this is the CDCR operations manual, but it
8
   goes to the Office of Administrative Law to change the
   section?
10
11
            MR. SLY: Not in the DOM. We're going to submit
  that to CDCR and have them change their DOM. This is not
   a regulation; this is part of the CDCR department --
13
   department's operating manual.
15
            MEMBER McGUIRE: Okay.
16
            MR. SLY: So this one won't go to the Office of
  Administrative Law.
17 l
            THE REPORTER: Can you speak up for me?
18
19
            MEMBER McGUIRE: My question was whether this
   requires the Office of Administrative Law review because
20
   that's what it said in the recommendation (inaudible).
21
22
            MR. SLY: That was probably an error.
23
            MEMBER McGUIRE: That was incorrect, apparently.
24
            CHAIR DIAZ: Any questions or comments from the
25
   public?
```

1	Is there a motion?
2	MEMBER SINGH: I move.
3	CHAIR DIAZ: Motion by Mr. Singh.
4	Second?
5	MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Second.
6	CHAIR DIAZ: Second.
7	Call the roll.
8	THE SECRETARY: Member Aghakhanian.
9	MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
10	THE SECRETARY: Member Davidson.
11	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
12	THE SECRETARY: Member Davison.
13	MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
14	THE SECRETARY: Member Kelly.
15	MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
16	THE SECRETARY: Member Martin.
17	MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
18	THE SECRETARY: Member McGuire.
19	MEMBER McGUIRE: Aye.
20	THE SECRETARY: Member Steeb.
21	MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
22	THE SECRETARY: Vice Chair Singh.
23	VICE CHAIR SINGH: Yes.
24	THE SECRETARY: Chair Diaz.
25	CHAIR DIAZ: Yes.

THE SECRETARY: The motion passes nine to zero.

CHAIR DIAZ: Action Item G.

MR. PATTILLO: Action Item G, members, is

Approval of CALPIA's Proposed Pay Increase for the Health

Care Facilities Maintenance Custodial Classifications.

We have approximately 562 custodians statewide that supervise 1,500 inmates doing custodial services and facilities maintenance at all facilities statewide.

It is the hardest classification that we -- for recruiting for us. Part of it is the pay level is so low. For three years running, we've been requesting CalHR to raise it up 20 percent. Given the Board has authority for pay increase and setting differential, our proposal is a 10 percent differential for custodian classes to ease in our recruitment efforts. This would be a cost of \$1.3 million dollars to PIA. Based on what we see with our financials, we can absorb it. As a matter of fact, the budget already had it built in. So we would be absorbing the cost. It's not a cost we're passing on to CDCR in a contract at all.

This classification, I think five years ago, members, when we took over HFM, if I'd known how much trouble it was going to be, I may have had a different vision of it, but it is hard to recruit, it's hard to discipline, and we have a lot of discipline issues that

```
happen because the pay is so low that people run into
   troubles with doing things they shouldn't do. It's --
 2
 3
   those of you who have been wardens, you kind of get where
 4
   that goes.
            I think -- if I --
 5
            Yes, ma'am.
 6
 7
            MEMBER STEEB: So let me just ask why we
8
   wouldn't contract more with -- oh, my gosh -- up in
9
   Roseville.
10
           MR. PATTILLO: PRIDE.
11
            MEMBER STEEB: PRIDE, yes.
12
            MR. PATTILLO: PRIDE pays higher than we do.
13
            MEMBER STEEB: Right.
14
            MR. PATTILLO: And the agreement in the budget
15
   was that they would reduce the reliance on PRIDE, and
  they would --
17
            MEMBER STEEB:
                          Whose agreement was that?
  CDCR's?
18
            MR. PATTILLO: That was the Governor's Office
19
20
   and SEIU. They would reduce the reliance on PRIDE
21
   because they were running the Stockton health care
22
   facility, and we didn't want to take it over because
23
   there's no inmates for us to employ down there. So it's
   really just a staffing model down there. So we have no
24
25
   ability to contract out for this service, technically.
```

```
1
            MEMBER DAVISON: Was PRIDE teaching the inmates
 2
   as well or just performing the service?
 3
            MR. PATTILLO: No, PRIDE was solely doing
   janitorial work. They had nothing to do with
 5
   supervision. And their workforce is an at-risk
   population also. And I actually don't think it's a great
 6
   mix down at CHCS.
            MEMBER STEEB: Okay.
8
 9
            MR. PATTILLO: But we're doing some minimal
  stuff down there.
10
11
            MEMBER STEEB: Okay.
12
            MEMBER MARTIN: Then you mentioned that it's
  already included in the budget. Is that in the mid-year
13 I
14 budget that we approved?
15
            MR. PATTILLO: Yes.
            MEMBER MARTIN: So that $1.3 you just talked
16
17
   about --
18
            MR. PATTILLO: It's already in the budget.
19
            MEMBER KELLY: Did you say we have 500 and
20
   something custodians?
            MR. PATTILLO: 562.
21
22
            MEMBER McGUIRE: Do you think ten percent is
23
   really going to make a difference?
24
            MR. PATTILLO: I do.
25
            MEMBER McGUIRE: If the pay is so low, 10
```

```
1
   percent is pretty low.
 2
            MR. PATTILLO: It's $3,000 a year. I mean,
 3
   we've been requesting 20 percent from Cal HR. It's a
   ridiculously low classification series, and I don't know
 5
   what the reasonableness of not increasing it is. The
   benefits are worth more than the pay raise to us.
 6
 7
            MEMBER MARTIN: Roughly $1.50 an hour.
            CHAIR DIAZ: Any other questions from the Board?
 8
 9
            Questions from the public?
            MEMBER DAVISON: How many vacant positions do we
10
11
  have?
12
            MR. PATTILLO: We were running at about 31
   percent. We're down to 26 right now. But it fluctuates
13
   up and down. I mean, we spend a lot of money on
15
   recruiting. We do more recruiting than any agency
16
  does.
            MEMBER MARTIN: Can you expand a little bit on
17
  how many disciplinary cases you have on a -- monthly,
19
   yearly because of the low pay?
20
            MR. PATTILLO: Before I took on HFM, we had zero
   cases per month. I'm serious.
21
22
            MEMBER MARTIN: Now what do we have?
23
            MR. PATTILLO: I have three a month at a
  minimum. And, you know, it's -- I hate to say, it is
24
25
   what it is. You worked in the system.
```

CHAIR DIAZ: I think more work can go in on the institution's side, bringing the staff in. I know there's a thought, well, those are PIA employees, but in the end, once you show your card, you're in, you're an institutional -- a worker. At the institution level, they need to be brought in more to explain, you know, checks-in from time to time. I know PIA does a great job managing employees, but there's a custodial side, too, that has to be addressed with the employees.

MR. PATTILLO: And don't get me wrong. The HFM program is probably the best thing that ever happened to us because now it gave us an opportunity to recruit a bunch of people that we might not have had before, and we can bring them over on the industrial side. So we have a lot of folks who are moving up through that side, but

percent of our employees. So it does have a -- it was a handful.

percent of our revenues, and it's -- you know, it's 45

it's just a lot of work. It really is work.

Public comment?

2.2

2.3

CHAIR DIAZ: Questions from the public on this matter? We have one.

THE SECRETARY: Please come forward to the podium, and if you can say and spell your name and mention your organization, that would be great.

1 MARIA PATTERSON: Good afternoon. I am Maria 2 Patterson, M-A-R-I-A P-A-T-T-E-R-S-O-N, and I'm actually 3 the chair of SEIU Local 1000 for Allied Workers Unit 15. 4 I am PIA custodian's chair, and I am here. 5 They're caught in a very unique situation because they are custodians, but then they also have to 6 supervise inmates, and they also have to clean at some type of hospital level. So it's a combination of 8 learning and moving forward, and if we want to keep them on board, we need more money because I realized the 10 classification is low for the State of California, and 11 12 that's why I'm here. I'm here to support the motion to move forward. 13 Thank you. 14 15 CHAIR DIAZ: Thank you. 16 MEMBER MARTIN: Thank you, Maria. 17 CHAIR DIAZ: Any other public comment? 18 Seeing none. Is there a motion? 19 20 MEMBER KELLY: Motion to adopt. MEMBER AGHAKHAJIAN: 2.1 Second. 22 CHAIR DIAZ: Motion. Second. 23 Secretary, call the roll. 24 THE SECRETARY: Member Aghakhanian. 25 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.

```
THE SECRETARY: Member Davidson.
 1
 2
            MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
 3
            THE SECRETARY: Member Davison.
 4
            MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
 5
            THE SECRETARY: Member Kelly.
            MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
 6
 7
            THE SECRETARY: Member Martin.
 8
            MEMBER MARTIN: Yes.
 9
            THE SECRETARY: Member McGuire.
10
            MEMBER McGUIARE: Aye.
11
            THE SECRETARY: Member Steeb.
12
            MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
            THE SECRETARY: Vice Chair Singh.
13
14
            VICE CHAIR SINGH:
            THE SECRETARY: Chair Diaz.
15
            CHAIR DIAZ: Yes.
16
17
            THE SECRETARY: Motion passes nine to zero.
            CHAIR DIAZ: Thank you.
18
19
            We're going to move on to our information items.
            We have an Industry Employment Program, fiscal
20
   `18/`19 first quarter.
21
22
            MR. PATTILLO: We have one information item, and
23
   I am presenting on behalf of Mr. Fitch in the Workforce
24
   Development branch.
25
            We're going to H, Section H, and we have the
```

charts as we go through there. I'm going to pull them out.

Members, this is, again, one of those things that we've talked about over the years that we're trying to consolidate what we're studying and the reason why we're tracking, but our biggest issue is just tracking numbers, trends, whatnot.

Most notable here was the decrease in industry-related lost hours. On the first quarter accredited certifications, we were -- 3,895 enrolled, 3,660 were closed out. We're lowering our numbers of offenders that are not completing programs, and part of that is an overall emphasis that we've hired 29 retired annuitants to work committee for us and make sure the right inmates are coming into our program so we can have folks that are going to be there longer term.

Our first quarter certificates of proficiencies: 86 offenders received a certificate of proficiency.

And then our apprenticeship programs: 80 offenders were reregistered in the first quarter into state apprenticeship programs, bringing the total registration of offenders for state apprentices to 569.

On November 14th, we awarded 53 apprenticeships at Folsom State Prison. And you will see us offering more throughout the state. Now, the apprenticeship

```
program that we're running there, this is a state
 2
   apprentice. This is different from the apprenticeship
   programs that we do with Mr. Kelly and State Trade. This
   is a state-sanctioned one, 2,000/8,000 hours. That's
 5
   transferable, and it's a government-offered
   apprenticeship.
 6
 7
            On that, I don't have any more on that. Any
8
   questions on that?
 9
            CHAIR DIAZ: Seeing none.
10
            Any questions from the public on this?
11
            Seeing none.
12
            Since this is informational, there's no vote on
13
   this.
14
            Okay. Thank you, Mr. Pattillo.
15
            So we will move on to our external affairs
   update, Michele Kane.
            MS. KANE: Good morning, board members.
17
18 Michele Kane, Chief of External Affairs.
19
            I would like to highlight some of the events
   that have taken place since our last board meeting in
20
   October. In November, as Chuck mentioned, we held an
21
   apprenticeship ceremony. Fifty-three offenders were
22
23
   recognized with full apprenticeships. These are
  full-scale apprenticeships. We partnered with DIR,
24
25
  Department of Industrial Relations. We also partner with
```

the U.S. Department of Labor. So this is a big thing for CALPIA. This month we held a rededication of the Leonard Greenstone Memorial Marine Technology Training Center, and that was held at CIM. Secretary and Chair Ralph Diaz was the keynote speaker at the event, along with Chuck Pattillo here, Warden Dean Borders. Phil Newsom was there. He's the executive director of the Association of Diving Contractors International. We partner with them.

Also, we heard from Jeremy Moose. He's the success story working in the industry after graduating from the program. We had five returned graduates who were there, and they encouraged these men. They are all working in the industry. Some of them are working out on the oil platforms. They are doing amazing work, and they just provided encouragement to all of them.

We received a lot of press from this event. We were featured on Fox in L.A. -- I believe I sent that off to all of you -- as well as CNN covered the event, and we will see that in January.

We are now busy working on the report to the legislature, and that report is going to be coming out in January. That will be before you on January 25th at our next board meeting.

And with that, happy holidays, Merry Christmas, and that's it for me.

```
1
            MR. PATTILLO: Our board meeting in January will
 2
   be to do the legislative report, and actually we're
 3
   having a formal lunch after at 12:00 o'clock, at 12:00
   o'clock on that same day at the (inaudible) factory.
 5
   It's our largest indoor space.
            MEMBER KELLY: Why do we need such a big space?
 6
 7
            MR. PATTILLO: Huh?
 8
            MEMBER KELLY: Why do we need such a big space?
 9
            MR. PATTILLO: My eqo.
10
            MS. KANE: We are honoring Chuck.
11
            CHAIR DIAZ: Thank you, Michele.
12
            This is the portion of the meeting where if
   there's any public comment out there before we adjourn on
13
14
   matters.
15
            Seeing none.
            I do want to thank CSP Corcoran for their
16
   hospitality, Warden Jaime Perez, and I want to thank SATF
17
   for their hospitality in seeing us, Chief Deputy Warden
18
19
   Cisneros. So thank you very much.
            Is there a motion to adjourn?
20
            MEMBER AGHAKHAJIAN: Move.
21
            MEMBER SINGH: Second.
22
23
            CHAIR DIAZ: Move, second.
24
            THE SECRETARY: This meeting concludes at 11:41
25
   A.M.
```

1	CHAIR DIAZ: Thank you.
2	(The proceedings concluded at 11:41 A.M.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                         ) ss
 2
   COUNTY OF TULARE
 3
 4
 5
             I, TAMARA L. MENDOZA SUMPTER, Certified
 6
   Shorthand Reporter,
   DO HEREBY CERTIFY:
 8
 9
             That I was present at the time of the
10 proceedings in the case as entitled on the title page
   thereof; that I took down in shorthand all of the
11
12 testimony given and proceedings had; and I further
   certify that the foregoing and annexed pages comprise a
13
14 full, true, and correct transcript of my said shorthand
15 notes.
16
17
18
   Dated: December 27, 2018
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```